ПЛОВДИВСКИ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ "ПАИСИЙ ХИЛЕНДАРСКИ", БЪЛГАРИЯ НАУЧНИ ТРУДОВЕ, ТОМ 38, КН. 3, 2011 – МАТЕМАТИКА PLOVDIV UNIVERSITY "PAISSII HILENDARSKI", BULGARIA SCIENTIFIC WORKS, VOL. 38, BOOK 3, 2011 – MATHEMATICS

# $L_P$ -EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN TWO ORDINARY IMPULSE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH BOUNDED LINEAR IMPULSE OPERATORS IN A BANACH SPACE

G. Kostadinov, A. Zahariev

**Abstract.** By the help of the fixpoint principle of Schauder-Tychonoff and Banach are found sufficient conditions for the existence of  $L_p$ -equivalence between two ordinary impulse differential equations with bounded linear impulse operators in an arbitrary Banch space.

**Key words**: Impulse Differential Equations,  $L_p$ -equivalence, Schauder-Tychonoff and Banach fixpoint principle

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 34A37, 47A10

## 1. Introduction

In the paper we study the  $L_p$ -equivalence between two ordinary impulse differential equations with bounded linear impulse operators in an arbitrary Banch space. This means, that to every solution of the first equation, which lies in a closad and convex set, there corresponds a soluition of the second equation, which lies in an other closed and convex set and the difference between both solutions lies in the spaces  $L_p$  and vice versa. In Theorem 1. and Theorem 2. are found sufficient conditions for the existence of  $L_p$ -equivalence between the considered equations.

#### 2. Problem statement

Let X is an arbitrary Banach space with norm ||.|| and identity I. Let  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ . By  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  we shall denote a sequence of points

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < \dots$$
, satisfying the condition  $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \infty$ .

We consider the following impulse differential equation:

(1) 
$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = F_i(t, u_i) \quad \text{for } t \neq t_n$$

(2) 
$$u_i(t_n^+) = Q_n^i(u_i(t_n))$$
 for  $n = 1, 2, ...$ 

where  $F_i(.,.): \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \to X \ (i=1,2)$  are continuous functions and  $Q_n^i: X \to X (i=1,2;n=1,2,...)$  are linear bounded operators. Furthermore, we assume that all considered functions are continuous from the left. Let  $Q_0^i = I$  and let

$$w_i(t,s) = \prod_{s < t_i < t} Q_j^i \quad (i = 1, 2; \ 0 \le s \le t)$$

**Lemma 1.** The solutions  $u_i(t)(i=1,2)$  of the integral equations

(3) 
$$u_i(t) = w_i(t,0)u_i(0) + \int_0^t w_i(t,s)F_i(s,u_i(s))ds \qquad (i=1,2)$$

satisfy the impulse differential equations (1), (2) (i=1,2).

ProofLet  $t \in (t_n, t_{n+1})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(t) &= w_i(t,0)u_i(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} w_i(t,s)F_i(s,u_i(s))ds + \\ &+ \int_{t_n}^t w_i(t,s)F_i(s,u_i(s))ds = \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n Q_j^i u_i(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \prod_{j=k+1}^n Q_j^i F_i(s,u_i(s))ds + \int_{t_n}^t F_i(s,u_i(s))ds \end{aligned}$$

We differentiate in respect to t and receive

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n Q_j^i u_i(0) \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \prod_{j=k+1}^n Q_j^i F_i(s, u_i(s)) ds \right) + \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{t_n}^t F_i(s, u_i(s)) ds \right) = F_i(t, u_i(t))$$

Let  $t = t_n$ . Then

$$u_{i}(t_{n}^{+}) = w_{i}(t_{n}^{+}, 0)u_{i}(0) + \int_{0}^{t_{n}^{+}} w_{i}(t_{n}^{+}, s)F_{i}(s, u_{i}(s))ds =$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{n} Q_{j}^{i}u_{i}(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \prod_{j=k+1}^{n} Q_{j}^{i}F_{i}(s, u_{i}(s))ds =$$

$$= Q_{n}^{i} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} Q_{j}^{i}u_{i}(0) + Q_{n}^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \prod_{j=k+1}^{n-1} Q_{j}^{i}F_{i}(s, u_{i}(s))ds =$$

$$= Q_{n}^{i}(u_{i}(t_{n}))$$

Hence for  $t = t_n$  (n = 1, 2, ...) the solutions  $u_i(t)$  (i = 1, 2) of the integral equations (3) (i = 1, 2) satisfy the jump condition (2) (i = 1, 2).

equations (3) (i = 1, 2) satisfy the jump condition (2) (i = 1, 2).  $\square$ By  $L_p(X)$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$  we denote the space of all functions  $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \to X$ 

for which 
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \|u(t)\|^{p} dt < \infty$$
 with norm  $\|u\|_{p} = \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \|u(t)\|^{p} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ .

**Definition 1.** ([3]) The equation (1), (2) for i=2 is called  $L_p$ -equivalent to the equation (1), (2) for i=1 in the unempty, closed and convex subset B of X, if there exists convex and closed subset D of X, such that for any solution  $u_1(t)$  of (1), (2) (i=1) lying in the set B there exists a solution  $u_2(t)$  of (1), (2) (i=2) lying in the set  $B \cup D$  and satisfying the relation  $u_2(t) - u_1(t) \in L_p(X)$ . If the equation (1), (2) (i=2) is  $L_p$ -equivalent to the equation (1), (2) (i=1) in the set B and vice versa, we shall say that equations (1), (2) (i=1) and (1), (2) (i=2) are  $L_p$ -equivalent in the set B.

Let  $S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  is the linear set of all functions which are continuous for  $t \neq t_n$  (n=1, 2, ...), have both left and right limits at points  $t_n$  and are continuous from the left. The set  $S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  is a locally convex space w.r.t. the metric

$$\rho(u,v) = \sup_{0 < T < \infty} (1+T)^{-1} \frac{\max_{0 \le t \le T} \|u(t) - v(t)\|}{1 + \max_{0 \le t \le T} \|u(t) - v(t)\|}.$$

The convergence with respect to this metric coincides with the uniform convergence on each bounded interval. For this space an analog of Arzella-Ascoli's theorem is valid.

**Lemma 2.** ([1]) The set  $H \subset S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  is relatively compact if the intersections  $H(t) = \{h(t) : h \in H\}$  are relatively compact for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and H is equicontinuous on each interval  $(t_n, t_{n+1}]$  (n = 0, 1, 2, ...).

Proof We apply Arzella-Ascoli's theorem on each intervals  $(t_n, t_{n+1}]$  (n=0,1,2,...) and constitute a diagonal line sequence, which is converging on each of them.

Let C is an unempty subset of X and let

$$\tilde{C} = \{ u \in S(\mathbb{R}_+, X) : u(t) \in C, t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \}$$

**Lemma 3.** ([3]) Let C is an unempty, convex and closed subset of X and the operator T is continuous, compact and maps  $\tilde{C}$  into itself.

Then T has a fixpoint in C.

ProofIt follows from the fixpoint principles of Schauder-Tychonoff.

# 3. Main results

Let  $u(t) = u_2(t) - u_1(t)$ , where  $u_i(t)$  (i = 1, 2) are defined by (3). Then the function u(t) is a solution of the integral equation  $u(t) = T(u_1, u)(t)$ , where

(4) 
$$T(u_1, u)(t) = w_2(t, 0)(u_1(0) + u(0)) - w_1(t, 0)u(0) + \int_0^t (w_2(t, s)F_2(s, u_1(s) + u(s)) - w_1(t, s)F_1(s, u_1(s)))ds$$

Now we will find sufficient conditions for the existence of  $L_p$ -equivence between the impulse differential equations (1), (2) (i = 1, 2).

We shall prove, that for any solution  $u_1(t)$  of the equation (1),(2) for i=1, which lies in an unempty, closed and convex subset B of X, there exists a closed and convex subset D of X, where the operator  $T(u_1,u)$  has such a fixpoint u(t), that  $u_1(t) + u(t) \in B \cup D$  and  $u \in L_p(X)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let the following conditions are fulfilled:

- 1. There exists an unempty, convex and closed subset D of X, such that  $T(u_1, u)(t) \in D$  for each u with  $u(t) \in D$   $(t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ 
  - 2. The operator-functions  $w_i(t,s)$  (i=1,2) satisfy the conditions:

2.1.  $||w_i(t, s)\xi|| \le M||\xi||$   $(i = 1, 2; \xi \in X; 0 \le s < t < \infty)$ , where M is a positive number.

2.2. 
$$||w_2(t,0)\xi - w_1(t,0)\eta|| \le \chi(t)$$
  $(0 \le t < \infty)$ , where  $\xi \in \tilde{B} \cup \tilde{D}$ ,  $\eta \in \tilde{B}$ ,  $\chi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

- 3. The functions  $F_i(t, v)$  and  $w_i(t, s)$  (i = 1, 2) satisfy the conditions:
- 3.1. Fulfilled is

$$\sup_{v \in \tilde{B}, w \in \tilde{B} \cup \tilde{D}} \int_{0}^{t} ||w_{2}(t, s)F_{2}(s, w) - w_{1}(t, s)F_{1}(s, v)||ds \le \psi(t),$$

where  $\psi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

3.2. For any fixed  $u_1 \in \tilde{B}$  the following inclusions hold

$$\int_0^t w_2(t,s)F_2(s,u_1(s)+u_2(s))ds \in K^{u_1}(t),$$

where  $K^{u_1}(t)$  is for any fixed  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  a compact subset of X.

3.3. Fulfilled is

$$\sup_{w \in \tilde{B} \cup \tilde{D}} ||F_2(t, w)|| \le \phi(t),$$

where  $\phi(t)$  is integrable function for each interval  $(t_n, t_{n+1}]$  (n = 0, 1, 2, ...).

Then the equation (1), (2) for i = 2 is  $L_p$ -equivalent to the equation (1), (2) for i = 1 in the set B.

ProofFrom condition 1. of Theorem 1 it follows, that the operator  $T(u_1, u)$  defined by (4) maps the set  $\tilde{D} = \{u \in S(\mathbb{R}_+, X) : u(t) \in D, t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  into itself for  $u_1 \in \tilde{B}$ .

For each  $u_1 \in \tilde{B}$  we set  $H_{u_1} = \{h(t) = T(u_1, u)(t) : u \in \tilde{D}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ . We will show the equicontinuity of the functions of the set  $H_{u_1}$ . Let t' > t'' and  $t', t'' \in (t_n, t_{n+1}]$ . Then

$$w_i(t',s) = w_i(t'',s) = \prod_{s \le t_j < t'} Q_j^i \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

and hence

$$\begin{split} ||h(t') - h(t'')|| &\leq \\ &\leq ||w_2(t',0)(u_1(0) + u(0)) - w_1(t',0)u_1(0) - \\ &- w_2(t'',0)(u_1(0) + u(0)) + w_1(t'',0)u_1(0)|| + \\ &+ ||\int_0^{t'} (w_2(t',s)F_2(s,u_1(s) + u(s)) - w_1(t',s)F_1(s,u_1(s)))ds - \\ &- \int_0^{t''} (w_2(t'',s)F_2(s,u_1(s) + u(s)) - w_1(t'',s)F_1(s,u_1(s)))ds|| \leq \\ &\leq \int_{t''}^{t'} ||w_2(t',s)F_2(s,u_1(s) + u(s)) - w_1(t',s)F_1(s,u_1(s))||ds \leq \\ &\leq \int_{t''}^{t'} ||w_2(t',s)F_2(s,u_1(s) + u(s))||ds + \int_{t''}^{t'} ||w_1(t',s)F_1(s,u_1(s))||ds \leq \\ &\leq M \sup_{w \in \tilde{B} \cup \tilde{D}} \int_{t''}^{t'} ||F_2(s,w)||ds + M \sup_{v \in \tilde{B}} \int_{t''}^{t'} ||F_1(s,v)||ds \end{split}$$

From this estimate and from the continuosity of the functions  $F_i(t, v)$  (i = 1, 2) follows the equicontinuity of the functions of the set  $H_{u_1}$ .

From condition 3.2. and (4) follows, that the sets  $H_{u_1}(t) = \{h(t) : h \in H_{u_1}\}$  are relatively compact for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . From Lemma 2. follows the relatively compactness of the set  $H_{u_1}$ .

Now we will show, that the operator  $T(u_1, u)$  is continuous in  $S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ . Let the sequence  $\{\tilde{u}_k\} \subset \tilde{D}$  is convergent in the metric of the space  $S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  to the function  $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{D}$ .

Then from the continuity of the function  $F_2(t, v)$  follows, that for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  the sequence  $F_2(t, u_1(t) + \tilde{u}_k(t))$  convergence to  $F_2(t, u_1(t) + \tilde{u}(t))$ .

From conditions 2.1. and 3.3. follows, that the sequence of functions  $w_2(t,s)F_2(s,u_1(s)+\tilde{u}_k(s))$  is bounded by an integrable function. Indded

$$||w_2(t,s)F_2(s,u_1(s)+\tilde{u}_k(s))|| \le M \sup_{w\in \tilde{B}\cup \tilde{D}} ||F_2(s,w)|| \le M\phi(s)$$

From the Lebesgue's Theorem follows, that in the untegral formula

$$T(u_1, \tilde{u}_k)(t) = w_2(t, 0)(u_1(0) + \tilde{u}_k(0)) - w_1(t, 0)u_1(0) + \int_0^t w_2(t, s)F_2(s, u_1(s) + \tilde{u}_k(s))ds - \int_0^t w_1(t, s)F_1(s, u_1(s))ds$$

is possible to go to the limit. Hence  $T(u_1, \tilde{u}_k)(t)$  converges to  $T(u_1, \tilde{u})(t)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . From this convergence and from the compactness of the operator  $T(u_1, u)$  follows the convergence in  $S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ .

From Lemma 3. follows, that for every  $u_1 \in \tilde{B}$  the operator  $T(u_1, u)$  has a fixpoint  $u \in \tilde{D}$  i.e.  $u = T(u_1, u)$ .

Now we will show, that this fixpoint lies in  $L_p(X)$ . From conditions 2.2., 3.1. and (4) we receive

$$||u(t)|| = ||w_2(t,0)(u_1(0) + u(0)) - w_1(t,0)u_1(0)|| + \sup_{v \in \tilde{B}, w \in \tilde{B} \cup \tilde{D}} \int_0^t ||w_2(t,s)F_2(s,w) - w_1(t,s)F_1(s,w)|| ds \le \chi(t) + \psi(t)$$

Then from the inequality of Minkowski follows

$$||u||_p \le ||\chi + \psi||_p \le ||\chi||_p + ||\psi||_p$$

Hence the equation (1), (2) (i=2) is  $L_p$ -equivalent to the equation (1), (2) (i=1) in the set B.

**Remark 1.** The case, when  $dim X < \infty$  and the sets B and D are closed balls with center zero is considered in [2]. In this case the condition 3.2. of Theorem 1. is automatically fulfilled.

Now by the help of the Banach fixpoint principle we will prove, that for every fixed  $u_1 \in \tilde{B}$  the operator  $T(u_1, u)$  has an unique fixpoint  $u \in \tilde{D}$ , such that  $u \in L_p(X)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let the following conditions are fulfilled:

- 1. The conditions 1., 2.2. and 3.1. of Theorem 1.
- 2. The operator-function  $w_2(t,s)$   $(0 \le s < t < \infty)$  fulfilled the condition

$$||w_2(t,s)\xi|| \le M||\xi||,$$

where M is a positive number and  $\xi \in X$ .

3. The function  $F_2(t, v)$  satisfies the condition

$$||F_2(t,v) - F_2(t,w)|| \le \psi(t)||v - w||,$$

where  $\psi(.): \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $v, w \in \tilde{B} \cup \tilde{D}$ .

4. The function  $\psi(t)$  and the constant M from condition 2. satisfy the condition

 $M(1+\int_0^\infty \psi(s)ds)<1.$ 

Then for every fixed  $u_1 \in \tilde{B}$  the operator  $T(u_1, u)$  has an unique fixpoint  $u \in \tilde{D}$ .

ProofLet  $u_1 \in \tilde{B}$  is fixed and  $u', u'' \in \tilde{D}$ . We denote

$$|||u' - u''||| = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} ||u'(t) - u''(t)||.$$

From conditions 2. and 3. we obtain

$$\begin{split} &||T(u_{1},u')(t)-T(u_{1},u'')(t)|| \leq \\ &\leq ||w_{2}(t,0)(u_{1}(0)+u'(0))-w_{2}(t,0)(u_{1}(0)+u''(0))|| + \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} ||w_{2}(t,s)F_{2}(s,u_{1}(s)+u'(s))-w_{2}(t,s)F_{2}(s,u_{1}(s)+u''(s))||ds \leq \\ &\leq M||u'(0)-u''(0)|| + M \int_{0}^{t} ||F_{2}(s,u_{1}(s)+u'(s))-F_{2}(s,u_{1}(s)+u''(s))||ds \leq \\ &\leq M|||u'-u''||| + M \int_{0}^{t} \psi(s)||u'(s)-u''(s)||ds \leq \\ &\leq (M+M \int_{0}^{t} \psi(s)ds) \ |||u'-u''||| \end{split}$$

From condition 4. and the last estimate follows, that the operator  $T(u_1, u)$  is a contraction.

Remark 2. Similar problems for the existence of  $L_p$ -equivence between nonlinear impulse differential equations with unbounded linear parts in an arbitrary Banch space are considered in [3] and [4]. The case, when the linear parts are bounded operators in Banach space is considered in [5], [6]. Sufficient conditions for the existence of  $L_p$ -equivence between impulse differential equations in N-dimensional Euclidean space are found in [2].

### References

- [1] BAINOV D., KOSTADINOV S., ZABREIKO P.,  $L_p$ -equivalence of impulsive equations, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 27 (1988), No. 11, 1411–1424.
- [2] GEORGIEVA A., KOSTADINOV S.,  $L_p$ -equivalence of impulse differential equations, SUT J. Math. 33 (1997), No. 2, 291–301.

- [3] GEORGIEVA A., KOSTADINOV S., Sufficient conditions for the  $L_p$ -equivalence between two nonlinear impulsive differential equations, Turk. J. Math. 32 (2008), No. 4, 451–466.
- [4] Georgieva A., Kostadinov S.,  $L_p$ -equivalence between two nonlinear impulse differential equations with unbounded linear parts and ist applications for partial impulse differential equations,  $Rostock.\ Math.\ Kolloq.\ 64\ (2009)\ 45–56.$
- [5] GEORGIEVA A., KOSTADINOV S.,  $L_p$ -equivalence between two nonlinear impulse differential equations with bounded linear parts, J. Tech. Univ. Plovdiv Fundam. Sci. Appl. Ser. A Pure Appl. Math. 12 (2007) 27–32.
- [6] Georgieva A., Kostadinov S.,  $L_p$ -equivalence between a linear and a nonlinear perturbed impulse differential equations with bounded linear parts, J. Tech. Univ. Plovdiv Fundam. Sci. Appl. Ser. A Pure Appl. Math. 12 (2007) 33–42.

Received 17 November 2011

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics University of Plovdiv 236 Bulgaria Blvd., 4003 Plovdiv, Bulgaria

e-mails: geokost@uni-plovdiv.bg, zandrey@uni-plovdiv.bg

# $L_P$ -ЕКВИВАЛЕНТНОСТ МЕЖДУ ДВЕ ОБИКНОВЕНИ ИМПУЛСНИ ДИФЕРЕНЦИАЛНИ УРАВНЕНИЯ С ОГРАНИЧЕНИ ЛИНЕЙНИ ИМПУЛСНИ ОПЕРАТОРИ В БАНАХОВО ПРОСТРАНСТВО

Георги Костадинов, Андрей Захариев

**Резюме**. С помощта на принципите за неподвижната точка на Шаудер-Тихонов и Банах са намерени достатъчни условия за съществуването на  $L_p$ -еквивалентност между две обикновени импулсни диференциални уравнения с ограничени линейни импулсни оператори в произволно Банахово пространство.