ЮБИЛЕЙНА НАУЧНА СЕСИЯ – 30 години ФМИ ПУ "Паисий Хилендарски", Пловдив, 3-4.11.2000

EXPONENTIAL POLYSTABILITY OF A FLAG OF INVARIANT SETS

Ivan Russinov, Hristina Kilimova

We analyse exponential polystability of a flag of invariant sets using Lyapunov vectorfunctions and comparison system.

1. Introduction

Corduneanu C.[3] proves exponential stability theorem on a part of the variables using Lyapunov function and comparison system. In his work [6] Martynyuk A. A. gives new method for the solution of exponential stability problem on a part of the variables and in [2] and also in [5] considers exponential polystability on a part of the variables.

In this paper the authors use the idea for polystability of a flag of invariant sets propounded by Russinov I.K.[4] and analyses it through Lyapunov vector-function [7].

2. Preliminary results

We consider the differential system

(1)
$$\dot{x} = X(t,x), \ x(t_0) = x_0,$$

where $x \in R^n, \ X(t,x) \in C(R^+ x R^n, R^n)$ and $X(t,0) \equiv 0$ for each $t \in R^+ \ (R^+ = [0,+\infty))$

We decompose the vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ into three subvectors $x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, i=1,2,3, $n=\sum_{i=1}^3 n_i$,

$$R^n = R^{n_1} \oplus R^{n_2} \oplus R^{n_3}$$

We write the system (1) in the form

(2)
$$\dot{x}^{(i)} = X_i(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}), \ x^{(i)}(t_0) = x_0^{(i)}, \ i = 1, 2, 3.$$

We make the following notations and norms:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| x^{(i)} \right\| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_j^2 \right)^{1/2}, \ \left\| x^{(k,s)} \right\| = \left(\left\| x^{(k)} \right\|^2 + \dots + \left\| x^{(s)} \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \\ & i = 1, 2, 3, \ k, s \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \ k < s, \ \left\| x \right\| = \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{n} x_\tau^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \left\| x^{(i)} \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

We assume that:

- a) the right parts of the system(1) (respectively(2)) satisfy the condition for uniqueness of solution (for example the local condition of Lipschitz on x);
 - b) the solutions of the system(2) are $(x^{(1)}, x^{(3)})$ prolongable [1].

Similarly we assume that $X_1(t,0,0,x^{(3)}) \equiv 0$ and $X_2(t,x^{(1)},0,x^{(3)}) \equiv 0$. Then the system(2) has a flag of invariant sets[4] $\{M_{(1,2)},M_{(2)}\}$, where $M_{(1,2)}=\{x:x^{(1,2)}=0\}$ and $M_2=\{x:x^{(2)}=0\}$.

Definition 1. The flag of invariant sets $\{M_{(1,2)}, M_{(2)}\}$ of the system(2) is exponentially polystable when:

- 1) The invariant set $M_{(1,2)}$ of the system(2) is exponentially stable, i.e. if there exists a real number $\lambda > 0$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a function $\delta(\varepsilon)$ such that $\left\|x_0^{(1,2)}\right\| < \delta(\varepsilon)$ $(0<\left\|x_0^{(3)}\right\| < +\infty$) implies $\left\|x^{(1,2)}(t;t_0,x_0)\right\| \le \varepsilon \exp\left[-\lambda(t-t_0)\right]$ for each $t \ge t_0$ [6];
- 2) The invariant set $M_{(2)}$ of the system(2) is globally exponentially stable, i.e. if there exists a real number $\alpha > 0$ and for each Δ $(0 < \Delta < +\infty)$ there exists a function $K(\Delta) > 0$ such that $\left\|x_0^{(2)}\right\| < \Delta$ $(0 \le (\left\|x_0^{(1)}\right\|^2 + \left\|x_0^{(3)}\right\|^2)^{1/2} < +\infty$ implies $\left\|x^{(2)}(t;t_0,x_0)\right\| \le K(\Delta) \exp[-\alpha(t-t_0)]$ for each $t \ge t_0$ [6].

Definition 2. [6]. The continuous function $\varphi:[0,a] \to R^+$ (or $\varphi:R^+ \to R^+$) is said to be a function of a class K, if and only if, when:

- 1) $\varphi(0) = 0$;
- 2) φ is strictly increasing in [0,a] (or R^+) and is denoted as $\varphi \in K$.

Definition 3. [6]. A function φ belongs to KR class, if and only if, when:

- 1) $\varphi: R^+ \to R^+$;
- 2) $\varphi \in K$;
- 3) $\lim_{y \to \infty} \varphi(y) = \infty$

and is denoted as $\varphi \in KR$.

Definition 4. [6]. The functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in K(KR)$ have variables of the same ordet, if and only if, when there exist real constants $\alpha_i, \beta_i, i = 1,2$ such that:

$$\alpha_i \varphi_i(y) \le \varphi_j(y) \le \beta_i \varphi_i(y), i \ne j, i, j = 1,2.$$

We consider the Lyapunov vector-function $V(t,x)= = (V_1(t,x),V_2(t,x)),$ where $V_1(t,x^{(1)},x^{(2)},x^{(3)}) \in C(R^+xG,R^+)$ (G = $= \{x: \|x^{(1,2)}\| \le H,0 < \|x^{(3)}\| < +\infty\}$) and $V_2(t,x^{(1)},x^{(2)},x^{(3)}) \in C(R^+xR^n,R^+)$ are locally Lipschitzian on x and $V_1(t,0,0,x^{(3)}) = 0$, $V_2(t,x^{(1)},0,x^{(3)}) = 0$.

3. Main results

Considering the results in [6,7] we formulate the following theorem:

Theorem: Let the right parts of the system(2) are continuous in R^+xR^n and there exist:

- 1) Lyapunov vector-function
- $V(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) = (V_1(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}), V_2(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}))$ of the type stated above;
 - Functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in K$ and $\varphi_3, \varphi_4 \in KR$, that have variables of the same order;
 - 3) Real constants γ_1, γ_2, N, M :

a)
$$\mathbf{N} \| x^{(1,2)} \|^{\gamma_1} \le V_1(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) \le \varphi_1(\| x^{(1,2)} \|);$$

b)
$$\mathbf{M} \| x^{(2)} \|^{\gamma_2} \le V_2(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) \le \varphi_3(\| x^{(2)} \|);$$

c)
$$\dot{V}_1(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) \le -\varphi_2(||x^{(1,2)}||);$$

d)
$$\dot{V}_2(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) \le -\varphi_4(||x^{(2)}||)$$
 for each $t \ge t_0$.

Then the flag of invariant sets $\{M_{(1,2)}, M_{(2)}\}$ of the system (2) is exponentially polystable in the sense of definition 1.

Proof: For the functions φ_1 and φ_2 , that have variables of the same order there exist real constants α_1 and β_1 , the following is fulfilled

(3)
$$\alpha_1 \varphi_1(y) \le \varphi_2(y) \le \beta_1 \varphi_1(y), (y \in [0, a]).$$

From the condition 3c) of the theorem and the inequality(3) we have

$$(4) \qquad \dot{V}_{1}(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) \leq -\varphi_{2}(||x^{(1,2)}||) \leq -\alpha_{1}\varphi_{1}(||x^{(1,2)}||) \leq -\alpha_{1}V_{1}(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}).$$

The comparison equation corresponding to inequality(4) is

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}} = -\alpha_1 \mathbf{v}$$

and the solution of this is given in the form of

(6)
$$v(t;t_0,v_0) = v_0 \exp[-\alpha_1(t-t_0)].$$

We denote
$$V_0 = V_1(t_0, x_0^{(1)}, x_0^{(2)}, x_0^{(3)}).$$

From theorem 42.2[1] we have that

(7)
$$V_1(t_0, x_0^{(1)}, x_0^{(2)}, x_0^{(3)}) \le v_0$$

implies

(8)
$$V_1(t; x(t; t_0, x_0)) \le v(t; t_0, v_0)$$
 for each $t \ge t_0$.

From (6),(7) and (8)we have:

$$(9) \qquad V_{1}(t; x^{(1)}(t; t_{0}, x_{0}), x^{(2)}(t; t_{0}, x_{0}), x^{(3)}(t; t_{0}, x_{0})) \leq V_{1}(t_{0}, x_{0}^{(1)}, x_{0}^{(2)}, x_{0}^{(3)}) \exp[-\alpha_{1}(t - t_{0})]$$

for each $t \ge t_0$

Inequality (9) and condition 3a) of the theorem imply

$$\left\|x^{(1,2)}(t;t_0,x_0)\right\| \leq N^{-1/\gamma_1} \varphi_1^{1/\gamma_1} \left(\left\|x_0^{(1,2)}\right\|\right) \exp\left[\frac{-\alpha_1}{\gamma_1}(t-t_0)\right] \text{ for each } t \geq t_0.$$

We denote $\lambda = \frac{\alpha_1}{\gamma_1}$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we choose

(10)
$$\delta(\varepsilon) = \varphi_1^{-1}(N\varepsilon^{\gamma_1}).$$

Then, according to (10) $||x_0^{(1,2)}|| < \delta(\varepsilon)$ implies

$$\left\|x^{(1,2)}\big(t;t_0,x_0\big)\right\| \leq N^{-1/\gamma_1}\left(\varphi_1\left(\varphi_1^{-1}\left(N\varepsilon^{\gamma_1}\right)\right)\right)^{1/\gamma_1}\exp[-\lambda\big(t-t_0\big)] = N^{-1/\gamma_1}.N^{1/\gamma_1}\varepsilon\exp[-\lambda\big(t-t_0\big)]\;,$$

i.e.
$$||x^{(1,2)}(t;t_0,x_0)|| \le \varepsilon \exp[-\lambda(t-t_0)]$$
 for each $t \ge t_0$.

With the stated above we proved that the invariant set $M_{(1,2)}$ of the system(2) is exponentially stable.

By analogy we get the estimate

We denote $\alpha = \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha}$ and for each Δ $(0 < \Delta < +\infty)$ and $K(\Delta) = M^{-1/\gamma_2} \varphi_3^{1/\gamma_2}(\Delta)$.

Estimate (11) and
$$\left\|x_0^{(2)}\right\| < \Delta \text{ imply } \left\|x^{(2)}\left(t;t_0,x_0\right)\right\| \leq K\left(\Delta\right)\exp\left[-\alpha\left(t-t_0\right)\right] \text{ for each } t \geq t_0$$
.

With the stated above we prove that the invariant set $M_{(2)}$ of the system(2) is globally exponentially stable.

Hence the flag of invariant sets $\{M_{(1,2)}, M_{(2)}\}\$ of the system(2) is exponentially polystable.

(12)
$$\begin{vmatrix} \dot{y} = -y + z_1 - 2z_2 \\ \dot{z}_1 = 4y + z_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 = 2y + z_1 - z_2 \end{vmatrix}$$

is given

We denote $x^{(1)} = y$, $x^{(2)} = z_1 - 2z_2$, $x^{(3)} = z_1$ and we get

$$\dot{x}^{(1)} = -x^{(1)} + x^{(2)} = X$$

The right parts of the system(13) are defined in the regions

G=
$$\left\{ \left(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)} \right) : \left(\left(x^{(1)} \right)^2 + \left(x^{(2)} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \le H, 0 \le \left\| x^{(3)} \right\| < +\infty \right\}$$

and
$$G^* = \left\{ \left(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)} \right) : \left\| x^{(2)} \right\| \le H, 0 \le \left(\left(x^{(1)} \right)^2 + \left(x^{(3)} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} < + \infty \right\}$$

It is obvious that $X_1(t,0,0,x^{(3)}) = 0$, $X_2(t,x^{(1)},0,x^{(3)}) = 0$.

Consequently the system(13) has a flag of invariant sets $\{M_{(1,2)}, M_{(2)}\}$, where $M_{(1,2)} = \{(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) : x^{(1)} = x^{(2)} = 0\},$

$$M_{(2)} = \{(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) : x^{(2)} = 0\}$$

We shall show that the flag of invariant sets $\{M_{(1,2)}, M_{(2)}\}$ is exponentially polystable in the sense of definition 1.

We use
$$V = (V_1, V_2)$$
, where $V_1(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) = (x^{(1)})^2 + (x^{(2)})^2$, $V_2(t, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) = (x^{(2)})^2$. $V_1(t, 0, 0, x^{(3)}) = 0$, $V_2(t, x^{(1)}, 0, x^{(3)}) = 0$ and hence the chosen Lyapunov vector-function satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

Let
$$\varphi_1(||x^{(1,2)}||) = ||x^{(1,2)}||^2 = (x^{(1)})^2 + (x^{(2)})^2 = \varphi_2(||x^{(1,2)}||)$$

 $\varphi_3(||x^{(2)}||) = ||x^{(2)}||^2 = (x^{(2)})^2$
 $\varphi_4(||x^{(2)}||) = 2||x^{(2)}||^2 = 2(x^{(2)})^2$

it is obvious that φ_1 , $\varphi_2 \in K$ and they have variables of the same order; φ_3 , $\varphi_4 \in KR$ and they have variables of the same order.

Becouse

$$\begin{split} \dot{V_1} &= - \left(x^{(1)} - x^{(2)} \right)^2 - \left(\left(x^{(1)} \right)^2 + \left(x^{(2)} \right)^2 \right) \le - \varphi_2 \left(\left\| x^{(1,2)} \right\| \right), \\ V_1 &= \varphi_1 \left(\left\| x^{(1,2)} \right\| \right), \\ \dot{V_2} &= -2 \left(x^{(2)} \right)^2 = - \varphi_4 \left(\left\| x^{(2)} \right\| \right), \\ V_2 &= \left(x^{(2)} \right)^2 = \varphi_3 \left(\left\| x^{(2)} \right\| \right), \end{split}$$

for N=M=1, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 2$ and for the chosen functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$ the conditions of the theorem are satisfied. Consequently the flag of invariant sets $\{M_{(1,2)}, M_{(2)}\}$ of sysrem(13) is exponentially polystable in the sense of definition 1.

REFERENCES

- 1. Rumyantsev V. V., Oziraner A. S., Stability and stabilization of motions with respect to a part of the variables, M., Nauka, 1987
- 2. Martynyuk A. A., Exponential polystability of separating motions, Ukr. Mat. Zh, 48,5, 642-649 (1996)
- 3. Corduneanu C., Some problems, concerning partial stability, Symp. math. V. 6., Meccanica non-lineare e Stabilita, 23-26 febbrario, 1970, L.-N.y.: Acad.Press, 1971. P. 141 154
- 4. Russinov I., Polystability of a flag of integral manifolds, Travaux scientifigues de Univ. de Plovdiv, V. 27, 3, 159 171 (1989) Mathematiques
- 5. Martynyuk A. A., On the exponential multistability of separating motions, Dokl., Akad. Nauk, Ross. Akad. Nauk, 336, 4, 446 447 (1994)
- 6. Martynuyk A. A. On the exponential stability mith respect to a part of the variables, Dokl. Akad. Nauk, Ross. Akad. Nauk, 331, 1, 17 19 (1993)
- 7. Russinov I. K., Polystability of the flag of integral manifolds and Lyapunov vector function, Scientific Works of Plvdiv Univ., V. 31, 3, 89 95, 1994 Mathematics

Ivan Russinov, Hristina Kilimova
Department of Applied Mathematics and Moulding Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
Plovdiv University "Paissii Hilendarski"
24 "Tzar Assen" str.
Plovdiv 4000
Bulgaria